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#### Abstract

Given a set $P$ of $n$ points in the plane, the $O j a$-depth of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is defined to be the sum of the areas of all triangles defined by $x$ and two points from $P$, normalized by the area of convex-hull of $P$. The Ojadepth of $P$ is the minimum Oja-depth of any point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The Oja-depth conjecture states that any set $P$ of $n$ points in the plane has Oja-depth at most $n^{2} / 9$ (this would be optimal as there are examples where it is not possible to do better). We present a proof of this conjecture.

We also improve the previously best bounds for all $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 3$, via a different, more combinatorial technique.


## 1 Introduction

We first present some examples of the several different versions of data-depth that have been studied.

The location-depth of a point $x$ is the minimum number of points of $P$ lying in any halfspace containing $x[11,20,19]$. The Center-point Theorem [9] asserts that there is always a point of location-depth at least $n /(d+1)$, and that this is the best possible. The point with the highest location-depth w.r.t. to a point-set $P$ is called the Tukey-median of $P$. The corresponding computational question of finding the Tukey-median of a point-set has been studied extensively, and an optimal algorithm with running time $O(n \log n)$ is known in $\mathbb{R}^{2}[7]$.

The simplicial-depth [13] of a point $x$ and a set $P$ is the number of simplices spanned by $P$ that contain $x$. The First Selection Lemma [14] asserts that there always exists a point with simplicial-depth at least $c_{d} \cdot n^{d+1}$, where $c>0$ is a constant depending only $d$. The optimal value of $c_{d}$ is known only for $d=2$, where $c_{2}=1 / 27$ [5]. For $c_{3}$ is still open, though it has been the subject of a flurry of work recently $[3,6,10]$. The current-best algorithm computes the point with maximum simplicial-depth in time $O\left(n^{4} \log n\right)$ [1].

[^0]The $L_{1}$ depth, proposed by Weber in 1909, is defined to be the sum of the distances of $x$ to the $n$ input points. It is known that the point with the lowest such depth is unique in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Oja-depth. In this paper, we study another wellknown measure called the Oja depth of a point-set. Given a set $P$ of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, the Oja-depth (first proposed by Oja [16] in 1983) of a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ w.r.t. $P$ is defined to be the sum of the volumes of all $d$-simplices spanned by $x$ and $d$ other points of $P$. Formally, given a set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$, let $\operatorname{conv}(Q)$ denote the convex-hull of $Q$, and let $\operatorname{vol}(Q)$ denote its $d$-dimensional volume. Then,

$$
\operatorname{Oja-depth}(x)=\sum_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d} \in\binom{P}{d}} \frac{\operatorname{vol}\left(\operatorname{conv}\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{conv}(P))}
$$

The Oja-depth of $P$ is the minimum Oja-depth over all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. From now onwards, w.l.o.g., assume that $\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{conv}(P))=1$.

Known bounds. First we note that

$$
\left(\frac{n}{d+1}\right)^{d} \leq \text { Oja-depth }(P) \leq\binom{ n}{d}
$$

For the upper-bound, observe that any $d$-simplex spanned by points inside the convex-hull of $P$ can have volume at most 1 , and so a trivial upper-bound for Oja-depth of any $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is $\binom{n}{d}$, achieved by picking any $x \in \operatorname{conv}(P)$. For the lower-bound, construct $P$ by placing $n /(d+1)$ points at each of the $d+1$ vertices of a unit-volume simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

The conjecture [8] states that this lower bound is tight:

Conjecture 1 Oja-depth $(P) \leq\left(\frac{n}{d+1}\right)^{d}$ for any $P \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of $n$ points.

The current-best upper-bound [8] is that the Ojadepth of any set of $n$ points is at most $\binom{n}{d} /(d+1)$. In particular, for $d=2$, this gives $n^{2} / 6$.

The Oja-depth conjecture states the existence of a low-depth point, but given $P$, computing the lowestdepth point is also an interesting problem. In $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, Rousseeuw and Ruts [18] presented a straightforward $O\left(n^{5} \log n\right)$ time algorithm for computing the lowestdepth point, which was improved to the current-best
algorithm with running time $O\left(n \log ^{3} n\right)$ [1]. An approximate algorithm utilizing fast rendering systems on current graphics hardware was presented in $[12,15]$. For general $d$, various heuristics for computing points with low Oja-depth were given by Ronkainen, Oja and Orponen [17].

Our results. In Section 2, we present our main theorem, which completely resolves the conjecture for the planar case.

Theorem 1 Every set $P$ of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has Ojadepth at most $\frac{n^{2}}{9}$. Furthermore, such a point can be computed in $O(n \log n)$ time.

In Section 3, using completely different (and more combinatorial) techniques for higher dimensions, we also prove the following:

Theorem 2 Every set $P$ of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 3$, has Oja-depth at most $\frac{2 n^{d}}{2^{d} d!}-\frac{2 d}{(d+1)^{2}(d+1)!}\binom{n}{d}+O\left(n^{d-1}\right)$.
This improves the previously best bounds by an order of magnitude.

## 2 The optimal bound for the plane

We now come to prove the optimal bound for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. First, let us give some basic definitions. The center of mass or centroid of a convex set $X$ is defined as

$$
c(X)=\frac{\int_{x \in X} x d x}{\operatorname{area}(X)}
$$

For a discrete point set $P$, the center of mass is simply defined as the center of mass of the convex hull of $P$. When we talk about the centroid of $P$, we refer to the center of mass of the convex hull and hope the reader does not confuse this with the discrete centroid $\sum p /|P|$. In what follows, we will bound the Oja-depth of the centroid of a set, and show that it is worst-case optimal. Our proof will rely on the following two Lemmas.

Lemma 3 [Winternitz [4]] Every line through the centroid of a convex object has at most $\frac{5}{9}$ of the total area on either side.

Lemma $4[8]$ Let $P$ be a convex object with unit area and let $c$ be its center of mass. Then every simplex inside $P$ which has $c$ as a vertex has area at most $\frac{1}{3}$.

To simplify matters, we will use the following proposition.

Proposition 5 If we project an interior point $p \in P$ radially outwards from the centroid $c$ to the boundary of the convex hull, the Oja-depth of the point $c$ does not decrease.

Proof. First, observe that the center of mass does not change. It suffices to show that every triangle that has $p$ as one of its vertices increases its area. Let $T:=\Delta(c, p, q)$ be any triangle. The area of $T$ is $\frac{1}{2}\|c-p\| \cdot h$, where $h$ is the height of $T$ with respect to $p-c$. If we move $p$ radially outwards to a point $p^{\prime}$, $h$ does not change, but $\left\|c-p^{\prime}\right\|>\|c-p\|$.

This implies that in order to prove an upper bound, we can assume that all points lie on the convex hull.

From now on, let $P$ be a set of points, and let $c:=c(\operatorname{conv}(P))$ denote its center of mass as defined above. Further, let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ denote the points sorted clockwise by angle from $c$. We define the distance of two points as the difference of their position in this order (modulo $n$ ). A triangle that is formed by $c$ and two points at distance $i$ is called an $i$-triangle, or triangle of type $i$. Observe that for each $i, 1 \leq i<\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$, there are exactly $n$ triangles of type $i$. Further, if $n$ is even, then there are $n / 2$ triangles of type $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$, otherwise there are $n$. These constitute all possible triangles.

Let $C \subseteq P$, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be they boundary of the convex hull of $C$. This will be called a cycle. The length of a cycle is simply the number of elements in $C$. A cycle $\mathcal{C}$ of length $i$ induces $i$ triangles that arise by taking all the triangle formed by an edge in $\mathcal{C}$ and the center of mass $c($ of $\operatorname{conv}(P))$. The area induced by $\mathcal{C}$ is the sum of areas of these $i$ triangles.

The triangles induced by the entire set $P$ form a partition of $\operatorname{conv}(P)$. Thus, Lemma 5 implies the following:

Corollary 6 The total area of all triangles of type 1 is exactly 1.

The following shows that we can generalize this Lemma, i.e., that we can bound the total area induced by any cycle.

Lemma 7 Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cycle. Then $\mathcal{C}$ induces a total area of at most 1 .

Proof. We distinguish two cases.
Case 1: The centroid lies in the convex hull of $\mathcal{C}$. In this case, all triangles are disjoint, so the area is at most 1. See Fig. 1(a).

Case 2: The centroid does not lie in the convex hull of $\mathcal{C}$. By the Separation Theorem [14], there is a line through $c$ that contains all the triangles. Then we can remove one triangle to get a set of disjoint triangles, namely the one induced by the pair $\left\{p_{i_{j}}, p_{i_{j+1}}\right\}$ that has $c$ on the left side. By Lemma 3, the area of the remaining triangles can thus be at most $5 / 9$. By Lemma 4, the removed triangle has an area of at most $1 / 3$. Thus, the total area is at most $8 / 9$. See Fig. 1(b). Here, the gray triangle can be removed to get a set of disjoint triangles.


Figure 1: The two cases

We now prove the crucial lemma, which is a general version of Corollary 6.

Lemma 8 The total area of all triangles of type $i$ is at most $i$.

Proof. We will proceed as follows: For fixed $i$, we will create $n$ cycles. Each cycle will consist of one triangle of type $i$, and $n-i$ triangles of type 1 , multiplicities counted. We then determine the total area of these cycles and subtract the area of all 1-triangles. This will give the desired result.

Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ be the points ordered by angles from the centroid $c$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ be the cycle consisting of the $n-i+1$ points $P-\left\{p_{i+1} \bmod n, \ldots, p_{i+j-1} \bmod n\right\}$. This is a cycle that consists of one triangle of type $i$, namely the one starting a $p_{j}$, and $n-i$ triangles of type 1.

By Lemma 7 , every cycle $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ induces an area of at most 1. If we sum up the areas of all $n$ cycles $\mathcal{C}_{j}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, we thus get an area of at most $n$.

We now determine how often we have counted each triangle. Each $i$-triangle is counted exactly once. Further, for every cycle we count $n-i$ triangles of type 1. For reasons of symmetry, each 1-triangle is counted equally often. Thus, each is counted exactly $n-i$ times over all the cycles. By Corollary 6, their area is exactly $n-i$, which we can subtract from $n$ to get the total area of the $i$-triangles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i-\Delta T} \operatorname{area}(T) & \leq n-\left(\sum_{1-\Delta T}(n-i) \operatorname{area}(T)\right) \\
& =n-(n-i)=i
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 9 Let $P$ be any set of points in the plane and $c$ be the centroid of its convex hull. Then the Oja-depth of $c$ is at most $\frac{n^{2}}{9}$.

Proof. We will bound the area of the triangles depending on their type. For $i$-triangles with $1 \leq i \leq$ $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$, we will use Lemma 8. For $i$-triangles with
$\lfloor n / 3\rfloor<i \leq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$, this would give us a bound worse than $n / 3$, so we will use Lemma 4 for each of these.

By Lemma 8, the sum of the areas of all triangles of type at most $\lfloor n / 3\rfloor$ is at most

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n / 3\rfloor} i=\frac{\lfloor n / 3\rfloor(\lfloor n / 3\rfloor+1)}{2} \leq \frac{n^{2}}{18}+\frac{1}{2}\lfloor n / 3\rfloor .
$$

For the remaining triangles, we use Lemma 4 to bound the size of each by $1 / 3$. Thus, in total we get

$$
\operatorname{Oja-depth}(P) \leq \frac{n^{2}}{18}+\frac{n(\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-\lfloor n / 3\rfloor)}{3}+\frac{n}{6}
$$

By a simple case distinction, it is easy to see that the lower order term disappears. This finishes the proof.

## 3 Higher Dimensions

We now present improved bounds for the Oja-depth problem in dimensions greater than two. Before the main theorem, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 10 Let $P$ be a set of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Let $q \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then any line $l$ through $q$ intersects at most $f(n, d)(d-1)$-simplices spanned by $P$, where $f(n, d)=\frac{2 n^{d}}{2^{d} d!}+O\left(n^{d-1}\right)$.

Proof. Project $P$ onto the hyperplane $H$ orthogonal to $l$ to get the point-set $P^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. The line $l$ becomes a point on $H$, say point $p_{l}$. Then $l$ intersects the ( $d-1$ )-simplex spanned by $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}\right\}$ if and only if the convex hull of the corresponding points in $P^{\prime}$ contain the point $p_{l}$.

By a result of Barany [2], any point in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ is contained in at most

$$
\frac{2(n-d)}{n+d+2}\binom{(n+d+2) / 2}{d+1}+O\left(n^{d}\right)
$$

simplices induced by a point set.
Applying this lemma to $P^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and simplifying the expression, we get the desired result.

Lemma 11 Given any set $P$ of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, there exists a point $q$ such that any half-infinite ray from $q$ intersects at least $\frac{2 d}{(d+1)^{2}(d+1)!}\binom{n}{d}(d-1)$-simplices spanned by $P$.

Proof. Gromov [10] showed that, given any set $P$, there exists a point $q$ contained in at least $\frac{2 d}{(d+1)(d+1)!}\binom{n}{d+1}$ simplices spanned by $P$. Now any half-infinite ray from $q$ must intersect exactly one $(d-1)$-dimensional face (which is a $(d-1)$-simplex) of each $d$-simplex containing $q$, and each such ( $d-1$ )simplex can be counted at most $n-d$ times.

Theorem 12 Given any set $P$ of $n$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$, there exists a point $q$ with Oja-depth at most

$$
B:=\frac{2 n^{d}}{2^{d} d!}-\frac{2 d}{(d+1)^{2}(d+1)!}\binom{n}{d}+O\left(n^{d-1}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $q$ be the point from Lemma 11. Let $w(r)$ denote the number of simplices spanned by $q$ and $d$ points from $P$ that contain $r$. In what follows, we will give a bound on $w(r)$, and thus on the Oja-depth of $q$.

If $r$ is contained in a simplex, then any half-infinite ray $\overrightarrow{q r}$ intersects a ( $d-1$ )-facet of that simplex. Therefore, $w(r)$ is upper-bounded by the number of $(d-1)$ simplices spanned by $P$ that are intersected by the ray $\vec{q}$.

To upper-bound this, note that the ray starting from $q$ but in the opposite direction to the ray $\overrightarrow{q r}$, intersects at least $\frac{2 d}{(d+1)^{2}(d+1)!}\binom{n}{d}(d-1)$-simplices (by Lemma 11). On the other hand, by Lemma 10, the entire line passing through $q$ and $r$ intersects at most $\frac{2 n^{d}}{2^{d} d!}+O\left(n^{d-1}\right)(d-1)$-simplices. These two together imply that the ray $\overrightarrow{q r}$ intersects at most $B(d-1)$ simplices, and this is also an upper-bound on $w(r)$. Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Oja-depth}(q, P) & =\int_{\operatorname{conv}(P)} w(x) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\operatorname{conv}(P)} B d x=B
\end{aligned}
$$

finishing the proof.
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